IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3000 OF 2006

Mr. Raju K. Ramani ...Appellant.

vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

Mr. R.K. Ramani, in person.

Mr. Rajesh More, APP, for the State.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.
DATE : AUGUST 21, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Petitioner appearing in person.

2. After arguing the Petition for some time on merits, the Petitioner appearing in person states that now the next date is fixed in the Trial Court is 29th August 2006. He states that on the next date, he will appear before the lealrned Trial Judge and will offer himself for cross examination by the Advocate for the accused in terms of the order passed by the Sessions Court on 23rd June 2006. He states that complaint be ordered to be disposed of expeditiously as prayed in this application.

3. I have considered the submissions. It is not in dispute that on 26th June 2006, the order of the Sessions Court was not acted upon. It appears that Sessions Court has permitted further cross examination of the Petitioner only by way of indulgence. Based on the said order, the accused cannot delay the trial any further. Hence, Petition is disposed of by passing the following order :-

(a) The Petitioner will remain present before the Trial Court on 29th August 2006 and will offer himself for cross-examination in terms of the order passed by the Sessions Court on 23rd June 2006.

(b) It is clarified that the learned Trial Judge will not grant any further time to the accused for further cross-examination of the Petitioner.

(c) The learned Trial Judge is directed to decide the complaint as expeditiously as possible and preferably on or before 10th November 2006.

(d) Subject to what is stated above, the application is rejected.

(e) The applicant will serve ordinary copy of this order to the accused as well as to the Advocate appearing for the accused.

(f) The parties as well as the Trial Court will act on an authenticated copy of this order.

JUDGE